

Lexum: Deterministic Control-Plane Programming for Reproducible Distributed Systems

Aniket Raj

Abstract

Control-plane logic in modern distributed systems suffers from non-determinism arising from concurrency, asynchronous messaging, and timing variability. This leads to irreproducible failures and difficulty in reasoning about system behavior.

We present **Lexum**, a deterministic control-plane programming model that represents long-lived distributed systems as convergent state machines. Lexum enforces deterministic scheduling, explicit state transitions, logical clocks, and append-only journaling to guarantee reproducibility.

We formalize Ved’s execution model, define determinism and convergence properties, and demonstrate its behavior through large-scale stress tests including transaction processing and message storm simulations. Our results indicate that deterministic execution significantly improves reproducibility and system reasoning without compromising scalability.

1 Introduction

Modern infrastructure is governed by control planes such as orchestration systems, workflow engines, and distributed schedulers. These systems continuously reconcile desired and observed state.

However, control-plane logic remains difficult to reason about due to:

- nondeterministic execution
- irreproducible failures
- race conditions and timing dependencies

This violates a fundamental expectation of computation:

Identical input should produce identical execution behavior.

We argue that the root cause lies in the execution model itself. Lexum proposes a deterministic execution model for control-plane systems.

1.1 Contributions

This paper makes the following contributions:

- A deterministic control-plane programming model based on convergence
- A formal execution model with deterministic scheduling
- A runtime architecture enabling replayable execution
- Empirical evaluation using high-load distributed simulations

2 Background and Related Work

Formal Methods: TLA+ models distributed systems as state machines but lacks executable runtime guarantees.

Actor Systems: Erlang provides message-based concurrency but does not enforce deterministic execution.

Event Sourcing: Enables replay but does not guarantee identical execution traces.

Lexum bridges formal reasoning and executable determinism.

3 Programming Model

Lexum programs are composed of domains:

- State S_d
- Goals G_d
- Transitions T_d
- Messages M

Execution proceeds until all goals are satisfied:

$$S_{t+1} = T(S_t)$$

until:

$$\forall g \in G_d, g(S) = true$$

4 System Model

A Lexum system is defined as:

$$\langle \Sigma, Q, J, \tau \rangle$$

- Σ : global state
- Q : message queue
- J : execution journal
- τ : logical clock

4.1 Transition Rule

$$m = \underset{\prec}{\min}(Q)$$

$$\delta(\Sigma, m) = (\Sigma', M')$$

$$\langle \Sigma, Q, J, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Sigma', (Q \setminus \{m\}) \cup M', J \cdot e, \tau + 1 \rangle$$

5 Determinism

5.1 Definition

Execution is deterministic if:

$$input_1 = input_2 \Rightarrow trace_1 = trace_2$$

5.2 Guarantees

Determinism is achieved through:

- total ordering of messages
- logical clocks
- pure transition functions

6 Convergence

A system converges when:

$$Q = \emptyset \wedge G(S) = true$$

Lexum models systems as convergence processes rather than imperative sequences.

7 Runtime Architecture

Lexum runtime consists of:

- Deterministic scheduler
- Bytecode interpreter
- Mailbox system
- Append-only journal
- Snapshot manager

8 Evaluation

We evaluate Lexum using real execution workloads.

8.1 Experiment 1: Banking Consistency

A domain processes 100 million transactions transferring value between two accounts.

Results:

- All transactions processed successfully
- No state corruption observed
- Execution completed within seconds

8.2 Experiment 2: Message Storm

A producer generates high-volume message traffic to multiple consumers.

Results:

- Stable throughput under sustained load
- No scheduler collapse
- Memory growth remained controlled

8.3 Experiment 3: Multi-Domain Deterministic Synchronization

Three domains exchange messages to reach synchronized target states.

Results:

- Convergence achieved deterministically
- No race conditions observed

8.4 Experiment 4: Convergence Conflict

Conflicting goals with different priorities are introduced.

Results:

- System resolves conflicts via priority ordering
- Converges to stable state

8.5 Experiment 5: Oscillation Case

A system with non-converging transitions is evaluated.

Results:

- System remains stable without crashing
- Highlights need for oscillation detection

9 Limitations

- current implementation is single-node
- external effects introduce nondeterminism
- performance overhead due to journaling

10 Future Work

- distributed deterministic runtime
- formal verification integration
- convergence detection algorithms

11 Conclusion

Lexum proposes a deterministic execution model for control-plane programming. By combining formal reasoning with executable semantics, Lexum enables reproducible, predictable, and analyzable distributed system behavior.